



ORMISTON  
SIX VILLAGES  
ACADEMY



# **MALPRACTICE POLICY (Exams) 2024-2025**

Approved by the Principal: October 2024  
Date of next review: October 2025

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

## Key staff involved in the malpractice policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	<b>Paul Slaughter</b>
Exams officer (Senior leader)	<b>Teresa Hardman</b>
Senior leader	<b>Joshua Case</b>
Other staff (if applicable)	<b>Cressida Canadas</b>

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

### Changes 2024/2025

Under headings **What is malpractice, Candidate malpractice, Suspected Malpractice** amended to reflect slight wording changes in SMPP.

Under heading **Purpose of the policy**: To confirm Ormiston Six Villages Academy: has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body

(Amended to reflect the change in GR 5.3) To confirm Ormiston Six Villages Academy: has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice)

Under heading **General Principles**, bullet point amended to reflect the change in GR 5.11: take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after examinations assessments have taken place

Under heading **Preventing Malpractice**: Updated the list of JCQ documents.

Under the heading **Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments** updated the prompt in the insert field to: Detail the process in your centre which confirms how, when and by whom candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments. Describe the process and also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice). Confirm when this takes place and include the name(s) and/or role(s) of those staff involved in briefing candidates.

### Centre-specific changes

**AI is a new style of plagiarism which needs to be monitored in assessments so has now been entered into our Malpractice Policy.**

## Contents

Key staff involved in the malpractice policy .....	2
AI is a new style of plagiarism which needs to be monitored in assessments so has now been entered into our Malpractice Policy. ....	2
What is malpractice and maladministration? .....	4
Candidate malpractice .....	4
Centre staff malpractice .....	4
Suspected malpractice .....	4
Purpose of the policy .....	4
General principles .....	5
Preventing malpractice .....	5
Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/ assessments .....	5
AI use in assessments .....	5
Identification and reporting of malpractice Escalating suspected malpractice issues.....	6
Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body .....	6
Communicating malpractice decisions .....	7
Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice .....	7

## What is malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding body requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification which:
- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or
- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding body or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding body or centre (SMPP 1)

## Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or non-examination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

## Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

## Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 19). (SMPP 2)

## Purpose of the policy

To confirm Ormiston Six Villages Academy:

- has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre detailing how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body; it must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice) (GR 5.3)

## General principles

In accordance with the regulations Ormiston Six Villages Academy will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

## Preventing malpractice

Ormiston Six Villages Academy has in place:

- Robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**. (SMPP 4.3)
- This includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
  - General Regulations for Approved Centres 2024-2-25
  - Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE) 2024-2025
  - Instructions for conducting coursework 2024-2025
  - Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments 2024-2025
  - Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2024-2025
  - A guide to the special consideration process 2024-2025
  - Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures 2024-2025 (this document)
  - Plagiarism in Assessments
  - AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications
  - Post Results Services June 2024 and November 2024
  - A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes 2024-2025

(SMPP 3.3.1)

Additional information: Not applicable

## Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/ assessments

JCQ Posters for Unauthorised Items and Warnings to Candidates are outside and inside every exam room. Also a member of SLT or Invigilators make announcement at the beginning of every exam to ensure candidates follow the regulations and hand in phone, watches etc. AI use in assessments are discussed before NEAs are started by the Exams Officer and during NEA lessons by teachers.

## AI use in assessments

Artificial Intelligence - At the beginning of courses AI is discussed with students and each student is issued a copy of the JCQ Information for Candidates. The misuse of AI would be treated as malpractice. Students must acknowledge any use of AI to avoid misuse/malpractice. Teachers and assessors ensure

they are familiar with AI tools, their risks and AI detection tools. We ensure that when students are using computers to complete assessments, teachers and relevant centre staff are able to disable improper internet/AI access where this is prohibited. It is reinforced to students the significance of their declaration where they confirm they are submitting their own work. They are also made aware that Awarding bodies have established procedures for reporting and investigating malpractice. Teachers are aware they must not use AI tools as a sole marker of student work. Full information can be found on the JCQ AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications.

## Identification and reporting of malpractice Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

If a student or member of staff identifies suspected malpractice the first point of contact would be Abi Barnes, Exams Officer. The EO would decide if this was malpractice by a student or maladministration by the College. If malpractice this would be passed to Kate Evans, Data and Exams manager who would collate the evidence, process the details and inform the appropriate awarding body. If maladministration was identified this would be passed to Rachel Kitley, Head of centre, who would follow the Maladministration Policy and delegate to a member of SLT to investigate.

## Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding body

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding body immediately of all alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** (SMPP 4.1.3)
- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1.3)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used to notify an awarding body of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)
- Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non- examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication does not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures. The only exception to this is where the awarding body's confidential assessment material has potentially been breached. The breach will be reported to the awarding body immediately (SMPP 4.5)
- If, in the view of the investigator, there is sufficient evidence to implicate an individual in malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of the rights of accused individuals (SMPP 5.33)
- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information-gatherer) will submit a written report summarising the information obtained and actions taken to the relevant awarding body, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (5.35)
- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.37)
- The awarding body will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly (SMPP 5.40)

Additional information: Not applicable.

## Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible. The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1)

Additional information: Not applicable.

## Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice

Ormiston Six Villages Academy will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant
- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes**

Additional information: Please refer to The Complaints Policy.